

CERTIFICATE OF INVESTIGATION STUDY

VIRAL CLEARANCE STUDY OF THE EFFICIENCY OF HI-NANO ON THE SARS-COV2 BY NO GLP VIRAL CLEARANCE STUDY (FIO)

Study number: 1199/01 Study report for:

Sponsor:

Hisense (Shandong) Air-Conditioning Co., Ltd. No.1 Haixin Road, Nancun, Pingdu, Qindao, Shandong, China

Submitted by:

Test Facility: TEXCELL
Test facility management: Boisson Bruno

Signature:

Genavenir 5

1 rue Pierre Fontaine 91058 Evry cedex

France

In Hisense conditions of use:

An inactivation and reduction titer of 1.19 Log (93.54%) of Sars-Cov-2 was demonstrated

Texcell

Immeuble Genavenir 5

1 rue Pierre Fontaine
F-91058 EVRY Cedex

Téléphone : + 33 (0)1 60 91 33 10 Télécopie : + 33(0)1 64 93 33 24

www.texcell.com

Société Anonyme au capital de 1 102 750 euros - 444 906 978 - R.C.S. EVRY - APE 8299 Z

CONTENT

1.	PERSO	NNEL INVOLVED IN THE PROJECT	3		
2.	MATER 2.1 2.2	RIALS AND METHOD Viruses (spiking test system) Cells (titration test system)	4 4 4		
3.	EXPER	IMENTS	4		
4.	INFECTIVITY METHODS				
	3.1	Titration assay			
	3.2	Acceptance criteria of the titration assay	7		
	3.3	Determination of the viral titer	7		
5.	REDUC	TION FACTOR CALCULATIONS	10		
6.	TABLE	S OF RESULTS	12		
7.	APPEN	DED	12		



1. PERSONNEL INVOLVED IN THE PROJECT

From Texcell

Bruno BOISSON

Test Facility Management

1 1 FEV. 2021

Date of signature

Virology and Titration Group:

Franck Gaston Luck TY Delphine LE GUERHIER Adeline CLICHET

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1. VIRUSES (SPIKING TEST SYSTEM)

Sars-Cov-2

INMI (Institut National des Maladies Infectieuses), reference Human 2019-CoV, strain 2019 nCoV/Italy-INMI

2.1.1. Virus stock

Main characteristics of Sars-Cov-2 virus are described in the table below:

Virus	Sars-Cov-2			
Family	Coronaviridae			
Subfamily/Genus	Coronavirus			
Host	Human			
Genome	single-stranded RNA			
Envelope	Enveloped 80-120 nm			
Size in diameter				
Resistance to physico-chemical treatment	Medium			
Titration cells	Vero Institut Pasteur, Laboratoire de VIrologie Medicale			



2.2. CELLS (TITRATION TEST SYSTEM)

(Freshney R.I., 1989, ATCC)

The cells are grown in accordance with Texcell's operating procedures TE1002, TE3001 and TE3011.

Vero cells: (Institut Pasteur, Medical Virology Laboratory) (Simizu B. and Terasima T. 1988; Simizu B. et al., 1967).
 Continuous cell line from kidney of an African green monkey.

3. EXPERIMENTS (PROTOCOL OF HISENSE)

Instructions of Operation

Experiment Request

test humidity: 45-70%

test temperature: 25 ± 2 °C

test duration: 2h

volume of test box: 46L

internal diameter of culture dish: 8.5cm size of non-folded gauze: 6cm × 6cm

initial virus infectious titer: over 106 TCID50/mL on gauze

distance between the discharge electrode of HI-NANO device and the gauze : 9cm

4. INFECTIVITY METHODS

4.1. TITRATION ASSAY

The samples are titrated according to operating procedure TE1088.

4.1.1. Principle of titration

The titration method is a quantitative assay in which the virus titer measurement is based on the detection of virus production in the infected cells, by observation of a specific cytopathic effect.

*Serial dilutions titration

Briefly:

Test sample is diluted with medium by serial 3-fold dilutions (eight replicates are performed for each dilution) across the 96 well plate (sample dilution plate).

Each well from the "sample dilution plate" is then inoculated on the corresponding well of a new plate (sample titration plate).

Cell suspension is added to each well of the "sample titration plate" and the plates are then incubated at appropriate temperature with or without CO₂ atmosphere (depending on viruses). After a period of incubation allowing viral replication and infection of adjacent cells, depending

on viruses,

- wells with foci are counted after infection by observation under inverted light microscope.
- or a stain overlay (crystal violet) is added and wells are examined for cytopathic effect. The infected wells show up as clear areas whereas the non-infected wells are stained.



The infectious titer expressed as 50% tissue culture infective dose per milliliter (TCID₅₀/mL) is calculated using the Spearman-Kärber formula.

*Large Volume titration (LVT)

LVT assay could be performed (at the sponsor request) in order to improve the detection limit of the assay or the titer of the tested sample. Cells in 96 well plates or flasks (number according to desired sensitivity) are inoculated with a large volume of the lowest non-toxic and non-interfering dilution of the sample.

Cell suspension is then added to each well of the "sample titration plate" and the plates are incubated at appropriate temperature with or without CO₂ atmosphere (depending on viruses). After a period of incubation allowing viral replication and infection of adjacent cells, depending on viruses,

- wells with foci are counted after infection by observation under inverted light microscope.
- or a stain overlay (crystal violet) is added and wells are examined for cytopathic effect. The infected wells show up as clear areas whereas the non-infected wells are stained.

The infectious titer expressed as 50% tissue culture infective dose per milliliter ($TCID_{50}/mL$) is calculated using the appropriate formula.

4.1.2. Titration assay controls

*Negative control N1 (cell reference control)

During titration assay, in each 96-well plate, 8 wells are prepared as cell reference control. These cells are prepared in the same conditions as those used for the titration of the samples generated during the viral clearance experiments except that they are inoculated with unspiked medium.

*Positive reference control (virus reference control)

During each titration assay, a stock of each virus prepared at low final concentration of approximately $10^5 - 10^7$ TCID₅₀/mL (depending on virus) and used as virus reference control is titrated in the same conditions as those used for the titration of the samples generated during experiments.

4.1.3. Spiking experiments controls

*Cytotoxicity test control(s)

The evaluation of the cytotoxic effect is carried out by visual observation under inverted light microscope. The quality of the cell monolayer (confluence, refringence, aspect) of the tested samples is compared with that of the cell reference control N1.

Negative controls (N2 and N3) and each dilution of samples for which no total cytopathic effect is observed are evaluated for cytotoxicity by comparison with the cell reference control N1. Similar serial dilutions as those used for the titration assays are applied.

For a defined sample, the non-cytotoxic dilution is reached when no significant difference is observed in the 3-fold serial diluted sample compared with the cell reference control.

*Storage control(s)

The evaluation of the effect of the storage conditions on virus in the tested sample during the storage at \leq -70°C is carried out by comparison of the titers obtained in medium and in diluted sample after storage.

This evaluation is carried out with the starting material(s), and/or the final material(s) obtained from the Mock run when the generated samples are stored until titration.

The study is described as follows:



Study number: 1199/01

February 11, 2021 Page 6 of 17

- The starting material and/or the final material is diluted at a defined dilution, and then spiked with virus (positive control or virus stock) at a low final concentration of approximately 10³-10⁴ TCID₅₀/mL.
- In parallel, medium is also spiked with the same virus (positive control or virus stock) to reach the same concentration as the starting material and/or final material samples.

Both storage controls samples are then stored at \leq -70°C until titration. These storage controls are prepared and titrated with the experiments generated samples

After titration, there is no storage effect on virus, when the difference between the two titers (titer in medium compared with titer in starting and/or final material(s)) is less than or equal to 1Log₁₀.

*Viral interference assay(s)

The evaluation of the potential interference of the lowest non-cytotoxic dilution of the sample on virus during the incubation period is carried out by comparison of the results obtained during the titration of the virus stock with medium, and the virus stock with diluted sample (final material/starting material and/or intermediate product).

The evaluation is carried out with the final material(s) (obtained from the mock run).

The results are used for the calculation of the reduction factor of the step.

The study of sample interference on virus titration is described as follows:

- First, the virus reference control (titration reference) at a low final concentration of approximately 10⁵-10⁷ TCID₅₀/mL, is titrated in the usual conditions i.e. serial 3-fold dilutions using medium as diluting medium
- In parallel, the same virus reference control is titrated by serial 3-fold dilutions using the lowest non-cytotoxic dilution of the sample to be tested as diluting medium.

The evaluation of the potential interference of the lowest non-cytotoxic dilution of the sample with the virus during the incubation period is carried out by comparison of the titers obtained in medium versus titers obtained in diluted sample.

For the defined non-cytotoxic dilution of the sample, there is no interference when the difference between the two titers is less than or equal to 1Log_{10} . This non-cytotoxic and non-interfering dilution is then used for the calculation of the infectious titer of the sample.

4.2. ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA OF THE TITRATION ASSAY

During each titration assay, a virus stock prepared at low final concentration of approximately $10^5 - 10^7$ TCID₅₀/mL (depending on virus), is used as reference control.

The titration assay is retained when:

- the cell reference control (N1) for each titration plate is conform to the expected result,
- the infectious titer of the virus reference control obtained is in the expected range.

4.3. DETERMINATION OF THE VIRAL TITER

(Schwartz D., 1993; Kaplan M. and Koprowski H., 1973)
Three situations may be predicted concerning the calculation of the viral titer.



Case	Subcase	Titer (T)
Infectious particles detected ≥ 12.5% positive wells/total tested wells		$T = T_{SK}$
Few infectious particles detected < 12.5% positive wells/total tested wells	$T_{\text{MaxL}} > dl$ $dl > T_{\text{MaxL}}$	$T = T_{MaxL}$ $T = dl$
No infectious particles detected 0% positive well/total tested wells		T < dl

with:

T = titer retained for the calculation of the reduction factor

 T_{SK} = infectious titer using the simplified Spearman-Kärber formula (Section 2.3.1)

 T_{MaxL} = infectious titer using the Maximum likelihood estimation (Section 2.3.2)

dl = detection limit using the Poisson formula with 95% precision (Section 2.3.3)

4.3.1. Calculation of the TCID₅₀ using Spearman-Kärber formula

The $TCID_{50}$ is evaluated by quantitative assay and defined as the virus dose capable of infecting 50% of the inoculated cultures.

The viral titer, T, expressed as the 50% tissue culture infective dose per milliliter ($TCID_{50}/mL$), is defined by its mean value, m(T), and its confidence interval.

m(T) can be calculated according to the following formula:

$$m(T) = \frac{1}{Vo} \cdot 10m(a)$$

with v_0 = volume per replicate

"a" is also defined by its mean, m(a), and its standard deviation, S(a).

The viral titer can be calculated using Spearman-Kärber (SK) formula (Payment P. and Trudel M. 1993).

*This method is applicable firstly, in situations where cytopathic effect is observed, ranging from 0% to 100% of positive replicas per dilution in a same titration plate.

m(a) = T_{SK}, and S(a) is calculated using the following simplified Spearman-Kärber formula

$$m(a) = T_{SK} = -a_0 + \frac{k}{2} - k \sum_{i} p_i \quad \text{and} \quad S(a)^2 = k^2 \sum_{i} \frac{p_i (1 - p_i)}{n_i - 1}$$

with:

 $a = Log_{10}$ of the titer relative to the test volume

 $a_0 = Log_{10}$ of the reciprocal of the lowest dilution for which all wells are positive

 $k = Log_{10}$ of the dilution factor

 p_i = proportion of positive wells at the non-cytotoxic dilution d_i / r_i

 r_i = number of positive wells at the non-cytotoxic dilution d_i

 n_i = number of replicates at the non-cytotoxic dilution d_i .

With a 95% precision, the confidence interval of "a" is the following:

$$a^{\min} \le a \le a^{\max}$$
 with: $a^{\min} = m(a) - 2 S(a)$
 $a^{\max} = m(a) + 2 S(a)$

With a 95% precision, the confidence interval of the titer T is the following:

$$T^{\min} = \frac{1}{\text{Vo}} 10^{\text{amin}}; T^{\max} = \frac{1}{\text{Vo}} 10^{\text{amax}}$$

The dilutions of the samples retained for the calculation of the infectious titers are those for which no cytotoxicity is observed.

*Secondly, when less than 100% but \geq 12.5% of positive replicas per dilution is obtained for the lowest non-cytotoxic dilution tested, the virus titer is calculated assuming that the sample contains sufficient virus to infect 100% of tested wells at the previous serial dilution (worst-case).

*Total virus load

The viral load L is defined by its mean value, m(L), and its confidence interval.

$$m(L) = \frac{m(T)V_t}{c}$$

$$L\min \le L \le L\max: \qquad L^{min} = \frac{T^{min}V_t}{c} \text{ and } \qquad L^{max} = \frac{T^{max}V_t}{c}$$

with:

c = concentration factor of the ultracentrifugation (c = 1 when the samples are not ultracentrifuged).

 V_t = total volume of the sample during the scaled down process.

4.3.2. Large Volume Titration assay: Maximum Likelihood estimation

During LVT assay, when few positive wells are detected (< 12.5% of all tested wells), viral titers (T_{MaxL}) in samples are calculated according to Maximum Likelihood estimation (Agut H., Calvez V., Barin F, 1997) as follows:

$$T_{MaxL} = (Ln (N/P) x d x (1000/v)) / Ln2$$

with:

 T_{MaxL} = titer relative to the test volume (TCID₅₀/mL)

N = number of all tested wells

P = number of negative wells

d = non-cytotoxic dilution factor of the sample

v = tested volume per well

 $Ln2 \approx 0.69 = corrective factor to convert PFU/mL into TCID₅₀/mL.$

When significant positive wells are detected (>12.5% of all tested wells) viral titers (T_{MaxL}) in samples are calculated using the Spearman-Kärber formula as described above.

*Total virus load

The viral load L is defined by its mean value, $m(L_{MaxL})$.

$$m(L_{MaxL}) = \frac{m(T_{MaxL})V_t}{c}$$

with:

c = concentration factor of the ultracentrifugation (c = 1 when the samples are not ultracentrifuged).

V_t = total volume of the sample during the scaled down process.

4.3.3. Detection limit of titration assay

When a sample contains a low concentration of infectious virus and only a fraction of the sample is tested for titration, there is a probability that the result of the tested fraction will be negative due to random (and unequal) distribution of the virus throughout the sample.

The detection limit, dl, for the titration assay corresponds to the lower theoretical titer which results in the detection of one infectious particle in one of the replicates performed. Since the infectious particle would be detected in a volume Vc (mL) of the dilution dc, the detection limit, dl, is calculated with a 95% precision according to the Poisson formula (Löwer J., 1991):

$$p(95\%) = e^{-dl} [V_c d_c] = 0.05$$

i.e.
$$dl = \frac{-\ln{(0.05)}}{V_c d_c}$$

with:

$$V_c = [v_0 \ n_c]$$

 v_o = volume per replicate

 n_c = number of replicates at non-cytotoxic dilution d_c

dl = detection limit

The non-cytotoxic dilution of the samples for which no positive wells are detected is then retained for the calculation of the infectious titer using the Poisson formula.

The infectious titers calculated with the Poisson formula are expressed as PFU/mL and are divided by ln2 to be converted into TCID₅₀/mL.

*Detection limit of the viral load of a whole fraction

The detection limit, DL, is the minimal viral load which could be theoretically detected in the total volume of a fraction belonging to the scaled down process.

DL is calculated according to the following formula:

$$DL = \frac{dl V_t}{c}$$

with:

c = concentration factor of the ultracentrifugation (c = 1 when the samples are not ultracentrifuged),

Vt = total volume of the sample during the scaled down process,

dl = detection limit for a titration assay.

The detection limit for the pre and post-treatment material are DLi and DLf respectively.

5. REDUCTION FACTOR CALCULATION

In accordance with the regulatory documents, the virus reduction factor (R) of an individual purification or inactivation step is defined as the Log10 of the ratio of the virus load (Li) in the pre-treatment material (starting material) and the virus load (Lf) in the post-treatment material (final material) which is ready for use in the next step of the process.

R is defined by its mean, m(R), and its confidence interval, [Rmin \le R \le Rmax]. If Rmin and/or Rmax is < 0 (negative value), then m(R) will present as \approx 0 without confidence interval.

Different cases have to be considered related to the effect of the pre-treatment material on the virus.

*Case 1

A studied manufacturing process step consists in the monitoring of a viral inactivation directly linked to a solution used during the process ("in process fraction", sanitization solution, etc.). In other terms, a putative virucidal effect associated with a solution is evaluated in a kinetics study.

*Case 2: Treatment reduction factor calculation m(Rt)

The pre-treatment material has no significant inactivating effect, i.e. the mean reduction factor, $\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{R}_0)$ is lower than or equal to 1. $\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{R}_0)$ is defined as the Log₁₀ of the ratio of the virus load in the medium (L_{cm}) and the virus load in the pre-treatment material (L_i). In this case, the reduction factor of the step corresponds to the reduction factor of the treatment $\mathbf{m}(\mathbf{R}_t)$.

*Case 3: Clearance factor calculation m(R)

The pre-treatment material has a significant inactivating effect (excluding Case 1) i.e. the mean reduction factor, $m(R_0)$ is higher than 1.

- In a first approach, the clearance factor of the step, m(R), is calculated as the sum of the reduction factor associated with the initial inactivating effect, m(R_o), and the reduction factor of the treatment, m(R_t). The initial load is the virus load in medium (L_{cm}).
- In a second approach, the reduction factor of the treatment, $m(R_t)$ is calculated with the virus load in the pre-treatment material (L_i) .

In practice,

- if the volume of post-treatment material (V_f) = volume of pre-treatment material $(V_i) \pm 5\%$ (v/v), the reduction and clearance factors are calculated with viral titers and then X = T.
- Otherwise, the reduction and clearance factors are calculated with viral loads and then X = L.



CASES	SUBCASES	EVALUATION OF THE REDUCTION FACTOR R _t AND CLEARANCE FACTOR (R)
Case 1		R is evaluated according to the three subcases of Case 2, with $X_i = X_{cm}$
	$m(x_f) < DL_f$	$R_t > Log_{10}\left(\frac{m(X_i)}{DL_f}\right)$ with $Rmin \le R_t \le Rmax$
Case 2 $m(R_0) \le 1$	$\frac{X_i^{min} \ge X_f^{max}}{X_i^{min} < X_f^{max}}$	$m(R_t) = Log_{10}\left(\frac{m(X_i)}{m(X_f)}\right)$ with $Rmin \le R_t \le Rmax$
	$X_i^{min} < X_f^{max}$	$m(R_t)$ is calculated according to subcase 2 $R_t \approx 0$ if Rmin, R_t or Rmax < 0 (negative value)
Case 3	$m(X_i) < DL_i$	$\begin{aligned} R_{t} \text{ cannot be evaluated} \\ R_{0} &\geq Log \bigg(\frac{m(X_{cm})}{DL_{i}}\bigg) \text{ with } Rmin \leq R_{0} \leq Rmax \end{aligned}$
	$m(X_i) \ge DL_i$	R_t can be evaluated at sponsor request, otherwise $R = R_t + R_0$ is calculated R is evaluated according to the three subcases of Case 2 with $X_i = X_{cm}$ $m(R_0) = Log_{10} \left(\frac{m(X_{cm})}{m(X_s)} \right)$ with $Rmin \le R_0 \le Rmax$

 X_i = initial sample titre (Ti) or load (Li)

 $X_f = \text{final sample titre (Tf) or load (Lf)}$

X_{cm} = culture medium titre (Tcm) or load (Lcm)

m(X) = mean titre or load

 $Rmin = R - 2 \sqrt{var Ti + var Tf}$

 $Rmax = R + 2 \sqrt{var Ti + var Tf}$

Var = Variance =
$$S(a)^2 = k^2 \sum_{i} \frac{p_i(1-p_i)}{n_i-1}$$

with:

- k = Log of the dilution factor

- p = proportion of positive wells at the non-cytotoxic dilution d/r

- r = number of positive wells at the non-cytotoxic dilution d

- n = number of replicates at the non-cytotoxic dilution d.

Atudy number: 1199/01

6. TABLES OF RESULTS

Table 1: STUDY OF THE EFFICIENCY OF HISENSE DEVICES ON THE SARS-COV2 BY NO GLP VIRAL CLEARANCE STUDY (FIO)

code Infectious Titer (T) Reduction factor evaluation (R) code Mean titer [m(T)] and confidence interval confidence interval Mean titer [m(T)] and confidence interval interval Definition N2R Starting material TN2R Not cytotoxic not diluted NA Negative control in the starting material V1 Spiked (addition of virus stock) medium hold control TV1 5.95 5.80 ≤ T ≤ 6.11 NA Virus stock control V2 Spiked (addition of virus stock) medium hold control TV2 5.95 5.65 ≤ T ≤ 6.25 Mot significant Experiment effect on virus in collure medium hold control			TABLE 1	TABLE 1.1: CONTROLS	ROLS		
Starting material Spiked (addition of virus stock) medium hold control TV2 Spiked (addition of virus stock) medium hold control TV2 Spiked (addition of virus stock) medium hold control TV3 Spiked (addition of virus stock) medium hold control TV4 Spiked (addition of virus stock) medium hold control TV5 Spiked (addition of virus stock) medium hold control TV7 Spiked (addition of virus stock) medium hold control TV7 Spiked (addition of virus stock) medium hold control TV7 Spiked (addition of virus stock) medium hold control TV7 Spiked (addition of virus stock) medium hold control TV7 Spiked (addition of virus stock) medium hold control TV7 Spiked (addition of virus stock) medium hold control TV7 Spiked (addition of virus stock) medium hold control		Sample	In	fections T	iter (T)	Reduction fa	ctor evaluation (R)
Negative controlsStarting materialTN2RNot cytotoxic not dilutedNAPositive controls in culture mediumSpiked (addition of virus stock) medium hold controlTV1 5.95 $5.80 \le T \le 6.11$ NASpiked (addition of virus stock) medium hold controlTV2 5.95 $5.65 \le T \le 6.25$ Not significant	code	Definition	epoo	Mean ti confid	ter [m(T)] and ence interval	Mean reduction factor m(R) and confidence interval	Definition
Spiked (addition of virus stock) medium hold control $TV2$ Spiked (addition of virus stock) medium			Nega	tive contr	ols		
Spiked (addition of virus stock) medium $TV1$ 5.95 $5.80 \le T \le 6.11$ NA Spiked (addition of virus stock) medium hold control $TV2$ 5.95 $5.65 \le T \le 6.25$ ≈ 0 Not significant	N2R	Starting material	TN2R	Not cytot	oxic not diluted	NA	Negative control in the starting material
Spiked (addition of virus stock) medium $TV1$ 5.95 $5.80 \le T \le 6.11$ NA Spiked (addition of virus stock) medium hold control $TV2$ 5.95 $5.65 \le T \le 6.25$ ≈ 0 Not significant		Po	sitive contro	ols in cult	ure medium		
Spiked (addition of virus stock) medium hold control TV2 5.95 $5.65 \le T \le 6.25$ ≈ 0 Not significant	V1		TV1	5.95	5.80 ≤ T ≤ 6.11	NA	Virus stock control
	V2	Spiked (addition of virus stock) medium hold control	TV2	5.95 5	5.65 ≤ T ≤ 6.25		Experiment effect on virus in culture medium hold control

Infectious titers are expressed as Log10 50% tissue culture infectious dose per milliliter (Log10 TCID50/mL).

6. TABLES OF RESULTS

Table 1: STUDY OF THE EFFICIENCY OF HISENSE DEVICES ON THE SARS-COV2 BY NO GLP VIRAL CLEARANCE STUDY (FIO)

		TABLE 1	1.1: CON	TABLE 1.1: CONTROLS		
	Sample	In	fections	Infectious Titer (T)	Reduction fa	Reduction factor evaluation (R)
epoo	Definition	epoo	Mean	Mean titer [m(T)] and confidence interval	Mean reduction factor m(R) and confidence interval	Definition
		Nega	Negative controls	trols		
N2R	Starting material	TN2R	Not cyt	Not cytotoxic not diluted	NA	Negative control in the starting material
	P06	sitive contr	ols in cu	Positive controls in culture medium		
V1	Spiked (addition of virus stock) medium	TV1	5.95	5.80 ≤ T ≤ 6.11	NA	Virus stock control
V2	Spiked (addition of virus stock) medium hold control	TV2	5.95	5.95 5.65 \le T \le 6.25	≈ 0 Not significant	Experiment effect on virus in culture medium hold control

Infectious titers are expressed as Log10 50% tissue culture infectious dose per milliliter (Log10 TCID50/mL).



Study number: 1199/01

Table 1 (continued): STUDY OF THE EFFICIENCY OF HISENSE DEVICES ON THE SARS-COV2 BY NO GLP VIRAL CLEARANCE STUDY (FIO)

		TAB	LE 1.2: C	TABLE 1.2: CONTROLS			
	Sample	I	nfections	Infections Titer (T)		Reduction f	Reduction factor evaluation (R)
code	Definition	opoo	Mean	Mean titer [m(T)] and confidence interval	Mean red m(R) and	Mean reduction factor m(R) and confidence interval	Definition
		Positiv	e control	Positive controls in the gauze			
LI	Spiked gauze, recovery at T0	TL1	6.07	5.89 ≤ T ≤ 6.25		NA	Virus stock control
L2	Spiked gauze, recovery at T2 hours	TL2	5.95	5.65 ≤ T ≤ 6.25	0 2	Not significant	Experiment effect on virus in gauze hold control (comparaison TL1 and TL2

Infectious titers are expressed as Log10 50% tissue culture infectious dose per milliliter (Log10 TCID50/mL),



Table 1 (continued): STUDY OF THE EFFICIENCY OF HISENSE DEVICES ON THE SARS-COV2 BY NO GLP VIRAL CLEARANCE STUDY (FIO)

TABLE 1.3: SPIKING EXPERIMENTS	Virus load (I.)	and code $[m(L)=m(T) \times Vt]$	Positive controls in the gauze $[m(K)] = Log_{10}(L1) - Log_{10}(LS)$	$6.07 5.89 \le T \le 6.25 V_{ii}$	STUDY In the Gauze	Samples co	2 hours at $25^{\circ}c$ +/- $2^{\circ}c$ 1 TS1 4.88 4.66 \leq T \leq 5.10 LS1 4.88 4.66 \leq T \leq 5.10 =1.19	THE PROPERTY AND
	Sam	p	-	L1 Spiked (S1 2 hours	Re

Infectious titers are expressed as 50% tissue culture infectious dose per milliliter (Log10 TCID50/mL). Viral loads are expressed as 50% tissue culture infectious dose (Log10 TCID50).









Cells with effect cytho pathogen





Plates of titration by TCID50/mL with SARS-Cov-2



